# THE

## PER REVIEW PROCESS

**△** Infobase



### WHEN A STUDY IS SUBMITTED,

IT'S REVIEWED BY A MANAGING EDITOR AND SENT TO A PANEL OF EXPERTS



### These subject experts will review the submission for:

- Significance or originality of the research question.
- Adherence to standards of the field of research.
- Study design, methodology & ethics.



Once the reviewers have appraised the submission's methodology, accuracy, and significance, the work then is recommended for the following actions:











NOR WITH MAJOR



The author then makes any requested adjustments; this stage may require several rounds of review and subsequent revisions.

- Minor revisions often include errors related to grammar, terminology, or formatting.
- Major revisions can include correcting how data is presented, or extensive rewriting.

#### **FORMS OF PEER REVIEW**

**Open** Authors and reviewers are aware of each other's identities.

Closed Authors are not aware of whoor Blind is reviewing their work. Reviewers are aware of the author's identity.

Double-Blind Neither the author nor the

reviewers are aware of each other's identity. This form of peer review leaves the least room for reviewer bias.

